

## **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: DISCUSSION BETWEEN APPLICANT & POLICE**

**From:** George Domleo  
**To:** 'Karen.StafF

Dear Karen

I have now taken our client's instructions on your representation and we have the following comments.

We would be happy to accept the following conditions:-

1. *"An incident log shall be kept at the premises, and made available on request to an authorised officer of the Council or the Police, which will record the following:*
  - a) *all crimes reported to the venue*
  - b) *all ejections of patrons*
  - c) *any complaints received*
  - d) *any incidents of disorder*
  - e) *any faults in the CCTV system or searching equipment or scanning equipment*
  - f) *any visit by a relevant authority or emergency service*

This would replace our proposed condition 3

2. *A minimum of two **SIA registered** door supervisors shall be employed on the premises on Friday and Saturday from 21.00 until the premises has closed whenever regulated entertainment is taking place. The duties of these staff will include the supervision of persons entering and leaving the premises to ensure that this is achieved without causing a nuisance. Door supervisors to be easily identifiable by either wearing reflective jackets or reflective armbands*

This would replace our proposed condition 5

3. Signs shall be prominently displayed on the exit doors advising customers that the premises is in a Public Space Protection Order Area (or similar) and that alcohol should not be taken off the premises and consumed in the street. These notices shall be positioned at eye level and in a location where they can be read by those leaving the premises.

We submit that your proposed CCTV condition is not appropriate at this stage. This condition goes towards operating the premises which as I am sure you are aware conditions should not do. It would also require all bar staff to be trained in operating the CCTV which could be an operational issue if one bar staff member is stealing money from the till and if they know how to work the CCTV system they could wipe the footage.

We do not wish to reduce the proposed terminal hour for licensable activities on Friday and Saturday. Within your representation you advise that there are no issues with these premises from a crime and disorder perspective. In any event we are still proposing a condition requiring a minimum of 2 door supervisors to be on the premises on Friday and Saturday from 21.00 until the premises has closed whenever regulated entertainment is taking place. There is no door staff condition currently attached to the Premises Licence so this is an improvement and will assist the premises in dealing with any crime and disorder issues. The Licensing Authority within their representation are pleased with the introduction of door supervisors.

We submit that the proposed conditions contained within the application plus the 3 above are appropriate and proportionate and will allow the premises to uphold the licensing objectives. Any additional conditions or reduction in proposed hours at this stage is not required.

Once you have reviewed the above please let me know if you are happy with these amendments and wish to now withdraw your representation.

If you want to give me a call to discuss please do.

Kind regards

George

**George Domleo**

Solicitor  
Licensing

**Flint Bishop LLP** St. Michael's Court, St. Michael's Lane, Derby,  
DE1 3HQ



**From:** Karen.Staff@met.police.uk  
**To:** George.Domleo@flintbishop.co.uk

Good Afternoon

Thank you for your email and for advising me of your clients instructions.

Regarding the CCTV – your clients have offered that there will be one member of staff on duty that can work the CCTV – I will accept that. The only reason I asked for all staff be trained is that it covered all eventualities – but happy to go with your clients offer.

The reason our CCTV condition is written the way it is, is to ensure the system does it's job by helping to establish any crime and help identify any suspects. This benefits your client as well as the Police. We have found that a lot of systems do not have clear images or the cameras are not placed to get the best view. If your clients have an up to date digital system and have it regularly maintained then hopefully they will get the benefit from it when needed. As your client has offered their own condition Police would not take a case to a hearing for this reason alone and any extra conditions can be requested or applied for should any issues arise in the future.

Therefore, the only part left of the application that the Police are objecting to is the end times – I still have concerns for such a late finish due to the venue being in such close proximity to residential properties and the impact the noise of customers leaving could make to those living close by.

I understand there are resident objections as well as the Licensing authority objecting to the times so believe it is fair that the decision is made at a hearing.

Kind Regards

**PC Karen Staff**